

# Broadway Bridge Feasibility Study Conceptual Bridge Alternatives

PREPARED FOR:	City of West Sacramento, in cooperation with the
	City of Sacramento
PREPARED BY	CH2M and Hardesty & Hanover

#### Introduction

CH2M has been retained by the City of West Sacramento to evaluate the feasibility of a new crossing of the Sacramento River between City of West Sacramento and City of Sacramento (Cities). The crossing will connect with Broadway on the east landing and 5<sup>th</sup> Street on the West Sacramento landing. The feasibility of a movable span over the main navigation channel has been investigated. Various alignments and structure types have been considered as part of this study.

#### Site Constraints

#### **Profile and Alignment**

The profile is constrained by an at-grade rail crossing on the east landing. On the west landing, the profile will conform to 5<sup>th</sup> Street. Because of these constraints, along with the vertical clearance requirements of the U.S. Coast Guard (USCG), a movable span will be required.

Numerous alignments have been evaluated and these may impact some of the construction details and bridge types considered. However, all of the alignments being proposed are feasible for the new crossing.

Bike paths will cross under the approach spans of the bridge on both sides of the river, allowing for unimpeded north-south bicycle and pedestrian movement.

Street car travel on the bridge has been considered and is viable for all structural options considered. Deck details can be provided for all structure types that will accommodate street cars and light rail in the future.

#### Navigation Channel

A site visit was conducted with a representative of the USCG in attendance. The proposed alignments were discussed and a minimum horizontal opening of 170 feet has been identified for the proposed crossing. Based on preliminary discussions with USCG, the southernmost alignment evaluated (Alignment D) will require a minimum horizontal opening of 200 feet.

#### Hydraulic and Hydrologic

As detailed in the Preliminary Hydraulics Study Technical Memorandum, preliminary hydraulic impacts of the proposed crossing have been evaluated. The number of piers, size and type of the piers, and size and type of any fender systems need to be considered when determining impacts to the 100-year water surface elevation. Foundations will be selected that minimize impacts to the existing water surface elevation.

The Sacramento River falls under the jurisdiction of the Central Valley Flood Protection Board (CVFPB). As such, and based on the classification of the river and the project's location, 3 feet of freeboard above

the 200 year water surface elevation will need to be provided. Because of this constraint and the atgrade crossing on the eastern touch down, the eastern approach roadway is proposed to be placed in the current floodplain between the rail line and the ordinary high-water level of the river on its eastern side. With the east abutment founded near the edge of the river, CVFPB freeboard requirements can be met across the length of the crossing.

#### Geotechnical

The geotechnical recommendations at this phase of the project are recommending driven pipe piles to support the piers. Large-diameter, cast-in-steel-shell (CISS) piles with diameters of 4 feet and 5 feet are viable at this location. Smaller-diameter, 24-inch CISS piles are proposed to support the approach spans of the crossing.

Based on review of existing subsurface explorations that have been performed, liquefaction and lateral spreading are expected at the project site. Lateral spreading can be mitigated via larger foundations or ground improvements.

#### Structure Type

#### Movable Span

Numerous types of movable-span bridge types were considered. A lift span, bascule, and bobtail swing span have all been identified as being appropriate for the site based on the required navigational channel opening width and proposed typical sections. Figures showing preliminary concepts for each of these options are contained in Attachment 1.

**Lift Span.** A lift span alternative with concrete towers has been developed. The concrete towers are more economical than comparable steel towers. The towers can be cast-in-place (CIP) using jump forms, or assembled using precast segments barged and lifted into place. This alternative will work for all alignments considered, including the southernmost alignment with the longer navigational channel width, as well as all of the structure widths considered.

**Bascule.** A bascule span is another option that has been considered for the movable bridge. The bascules are required in order to minimize the pier size in the river. A twin leaf bascule would be required for the 64-foot-wide and 84-foot-wide cross sections. A total of four leafs will be required for the 98-foot-wide cross section, because of the increased width.

**Bobtail Swing.** A bobtail swing bridge is one potential option for the crossing. This alternative is feasible for the two northern alignments and can accommodate the 64-foot-wide and 84-foot-wide typical sections. The 98-foot-wide typical section is not feasible for this option. In addition, the larger navigation opening required for the southern alignment cannot be accommodated with a bobtail swing bridge.

A comparative matrix of the movable-bridge types is included in Attachment 2.

#### Approach Spans

**Structure Type.** Numerous structure types have been considered for the approach spans. As the movable span will be the focal point of the crossing, the approach spans should be comprised of conventional structure types. Both precast girders and steel plate girders are being recommended for the approach spans.

The steel plate girders are lightweight, can accommodate the span configurations being considered, and will match the material used for the movable span. Based on planning-level quantities, the assumed cost of a steel plate girder bridge is \$375 per square foot.

Precast girders are readily available and require less long-term maintenance than steel girders. Based on planning-level quantities, the assumed cost of a precast girder bridge is \$290 per square foot.

## ch2m:

CIP post-tensioned box girders are not recommended, as this will require falsework placed in the river. This will increase the project cost and cause environmental impacts that can be avoided by using other construction methods.

**Span Configuration.** The western approach will require one to two spans. On the eastern approach, anywhere from two to four spans are feasible. Four spans would reduce the overall structure depth of the approaches; however, the extra cost associated with the addition of an extra in-river foundation does not warrant using four spans on the eastern approach. Steel girders can be used for both the two-and three-span approach configurations. Precast girders are not recommended for the two-span alternative due to the 200-foot-long span lengths.

Six variations of alignment type, movable-span type, approach-span type, and approach-span configuration have been developed and are shown in Attachment 3. A summary of each figure is shown in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Summary of General Plan Figures							
Figure	Alignment	Movable Span Type	A <mark>pproach Span</mark> Type	Number of Approach Spans			
1	Middle	Lift	Steel	Two			
2	Middle	Lift	Steel or Precast	Three			
3	Middle	Lift	Steel or Precast	Four			
4	Middle	Bascule	Steel	Two			
5	North	Lift	Steel	Two			
6	North	Bascule	Steel or Precast	Three			

**Cost.** Planning-level cost estimates of the 76-foot approach spans were completed to determine average unit costs for the steel plate girder and precast girder options. Based on these quantities, a cost per square foot was developed. This square foot amount was used to come up with the costs for the 86-foot widths shown in **Table 2**. In addition, preliminary cost implications of having two versus three spans on the eastern approach were evaluated. These estimates are included in Attachment 4 and the results are summarized in Table 2, below.

Table 2. Approach S	Table 2. Approach Span Cost Comparison (Includes 25 Percent Contingency)						
Typical Section Width	Approach Span Type	Number of Approach Spans	Cost	Cost per Square Foot			
86 feet	Steel	Two	\$15.6M	\$375			
86 feet	Steel	Three	\$15.7M	\$375			
86 feet	Precast	Four	\$12.1M	\$290			

#### Aesthetics

Aesthetics of the new crossing will be dominated by the movable span and its piers. The approach spans and piers will be detailed to enhance the aesthetics of the movable spans and piers. Steel plate girders can be painted to match the movable span. Precast girders can also be painted, should that be desired. Overlooks at pier locations are proposed to provide viewing locations for users on both the upstream and downstream sides of the bridge. An open barrier with a pedestrian and bicycle railing is proposed for use.



#### **Design Criteria**

During the type-selection phase of the project, project-specific design criteria will be created. Applicable criteria will include the following design codes:

- Caltrans Seismic Design Criteria (SDC), Version 1.7, April 2013.
- AASHTO LRFD 6<sup>th</sup> Edition with California Amendments.
- AASHTO Guide Specifications and Commentary for Vessel Collision Design of Highway Bridges, Second Edition, 2009.
- Sacramento Regional Transit Design Criteria.

Attachment 1 Lift Span Exhibits



		€ TRUNNION	230'-0"	
		17'-0" 		
		30'-0"     15'-0"     24'-0"       COUNTERWEIGHT     10'-0"     10'-0"       30'-0"     10'-0"     10'-0"	13 SPA. @ 14'−0" = 182'−0" 170'−0" CHANNEL	
	DECK OVER COUNTERWEIGHT		EXODERMIC DECK	
	DECK OVER COUNTERWEIGHT STRINGER REAR WALL	TRUNNION TOWER TOWER	TOWER PLATFORM BASCULE BOX GIRDER DESTAL	
_		BASCULE PIE	ER FOOTING	
	DATUM -30.000 16+00 17+00	18+00	l   19+00	20+00
			BASCULE SPAN LONGITUDINAL SEC	ΓΙΟΝ
J PM 40:0.000000 ':" / in dy\CADD\10_Str\S002.dgn	PREPARED FOR:	PREPARED BY: SEAL		DRAWING NO. :
/2015 4:24:20 )78.00\2000_Stur		1501 Broadway, New York, NY 10036 U.S.A.		S 002
10/28 P:\030	Ref. No. REFERENCE	rii +1-212-944-1100 rax +1-212-391-0297 www.Hardesty-Hanover.com	No. Date REVISION	Dr'n Ch'd





MA 4 25 23 |

Ē 8



IL LI lardesty S 004 HI &Hanover 1501 Broadway, New York, NY 10036 U.S.A. Ph +1-212-944-1150 Fax +1-212-391-0297 REFERENCE No. Ref. No. Date REVISION Dr'n Ch'd www Hardesty-Hanover.com

Ľ

DESIGN BY			City of Sacramento &		
DRAWN BY	R PODOLNY		BROADWAY BRIDGE over SACRAMENTC	RIVER	
APPROVED	D TUCKMAN		DOUBLE LEAF BASCULE ALTERNAT	IVE	
DATE	2015- 10- 28		TYPICAL CROSS SECTION		
SCALE	AS NOTED				
		SIZE	PROJECT NO.	SHEET	REV.
		ansi D		4 of 4	



. 46.22 AM \Ca\S≏~ ⊇ 10/28/201 G:\Cadd\I

DESIGN BY			City of Sacramento & City of West Sacramento BROADWAY BRIDGE over SACRAMENTO	) RIVER	_
APPROVED	D TUCKMAN				
DATE	2015- 10- 28		GENERAL PLAN & FLEVATION		
SCALE	AS NOTED	1			
		size ansi D	PROJECT NO.	sheet 1 of 2	REV.

1. 200-YEAR FLOOD ELEVATION = 36.4' 2. 3' MIN. VERTICAL CLEARANCE (LOWERED POSITION) 55' MIN. VERTICAL CLEARANCE (RAISED POSITION).

NOTES:

└**──** ℚ BRIDGE 84'-0" 11'-0" 11'-0" 11'-0" 2'-0" 12'-0" 6'-0" 11'-0" 6'-0" BIKE LANE BIKE LANE SIDEWALK LANE LANE LANE LANE 🖛 🕻 bridge 84'-0'' 2'-0" 8'-0" BIKE LANE 8'-0" BIKE LANE 12'-0" 13'-0" 7'-0'' 7'-0'' 13'-0'' RAISED MEDIAN SIDEWALK LANE LANE — LIGHT WEIGHT \_\_\_\_1" DECK CONCRETE DECK PLATE **→** 3'-0" 1'-0" 10'-6" 10'-0" 10'-6" 10'-6" 10'-0" 10'-6" STEEL BOX GIRDER STEEL BOX GIRDER STEEL BOX GIRDER STEEL BOX GIRDER └─\_2'-0" STEEL BOX GIRDER LIFT SPAN TYPICAL CROSS SECTION SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

.... / IN

0.2.000000 ' S006.dgn

men																
PM acra			PREPARED FOR:	PREPARED BY:	SEAL						DRAWING NO.	DESIGN BY	City of Sacra	mento &		
4:17 Ca\S				Hardosty								DRAWN BY	BROADWAY BRIDGE over		) <b>RIVER</b>	
1:0 Salv				Handesty								APPROVED D TUCKMAN				
5 Propo				ananover							5 000	DATE 2015- 10- 28				
/201 dd/F				1501 Broadway, New York, NY 10036 U.S.A.								SCALE AS NOTED		TAL SECTION		
10/28 G:\Ca	Ref. No.	REFERENCE		www.Hardesty-Hanover.com		No.	Date	REVISION	Dr'n	Ch'	a		SIZE PROJECT NO. ANSI D		sheet 2 of 2	REV.





	SEAL						DRAWING NO.:
U.S.A.							
1-0297		No.	Date	REVISION	Dr'n	Ch'd	



ЪМ

	236'-0" 170'-0" CHANNEL		E BEARING (SWING	SPAN) 100 01 1000-,98			
			20+00 7'-C 0 13'- 0 8'-C 0 12'-	O" RAISED MEDIAN O" LANE O" BIKE LANE O" SIDEWALK	21+0	00	
	PLAN SCALE: 1"=20'-0"	INNEL	Ϋ́				
U.S.A. -0297	No. Date	REVISION Dr'n Ch'd	DRAWING NO.: - - - -	DRAWN BY C MCMAHON APPROVED P ROODY DATE ·2015- 10- 28 SCALE ·AS NOTED	SIZE PROJECT NO.	City of Sacro City of West BRIDGE over S BOBTAIL SWING	Sacramento Sacramento SACRAMENTO RIVER - PLAN

.









 $\geq$ Ŋ Ь LO R  $\infty$ 

Attachment 2 Broadway Bridge Comparative Matrix

### Broadway Bridge Comparative Matrix

Movable Bridge Type	Aesthetics	Environmental	Superstructure	Constructability	Capital Cost	O&M Cost	Opening Time
Vertical Lift	Consistent with bridge types currently on river; options for contemporary or tradtitional aesthetic of towers and span	Minimal river impacts with two smaller tower footprints	Multisteel box girders provide most economic and simplistic option; traditional steel truss option available	Concrete towers provide Contractor flexibility with precast and cast-in-place options; anticipated week long restriction for erection of movable span	Lowest with exception of 2 lane (64 foot width) configuration	Low O&M costs with incorporation of concrete towers; most desirable option for rail integration and maintenance	Shortest
Bobtail Swing	Low profile superstructure; option for contemporary (orthotropic box section) or traditional (steel through truss) aesthetic of span	Minimal river impacts with single pivot pier footprint	Steel orthotropic box section; traditional steel through truss option available	Can be erected in open position while maintaining navigation channel	Lowest for two lanes (64-foot width) configuration	Higher O&M cost for hydraulic drive system; similar O&M cost for traditional gear drive option	Longest
Double Leaf Bascule	Larger piers create bulkiest appearance ir river	n Increased river impacts with two larger bascule pier footprints; greatest hydraulic impacts	<ul> <li>Steel through truss option available with overhead counterweight and reduced pier size; four leaves likely required for widest (98 feet) typical section option</li> </ul>	Anticipated month-long partial restiction/closure of navigation channel to facilitate erection of movable span	Highest	Low O&M costs; least desirable option for rail integration and maintenance	Shortest

Notes: O&M = operation and maintenance

Attachment 3 General Plans



PLOT TIME: 4:01:04 PM



PLOT TIME: 4:07:57 PM



PLOT TIME: 4:27:49 PM



PLOT TIME: 5:18:19 PM





PLOT DATE: 2015\10\26

PLOT TIME: 3:34:02 PM

Attachment 4 Approach Span Cost Estimates

GENERAL PLAN ESTIMATE ADVANCE PLANNING ESTIMATE Х Revised - December 3, 2007 **RCVD BY:** IN EST: **OUT EST:** BRIDGE: Broadway Bridge BR. No.: DISTRICT: 03 TYPE: Steel Plate Girder - 2 Span East Approach RTE: Broadway CU: CO: Sacramento EA: PM: LENGTH: 550.00 AREA (SF)= WIDTH: 41,800 76.00 DESIGN BY: CH2M **# OF STRUCTURES IN PROJECT : 1** EST. NO. 1 PRICES BY : M. Negrete COST INDEX: 2015 PRICES CHECKED BY : DATE: QUANTITIES BY: M. Negrete DATE: 6/29/2015 CONTRACT ITEMS TYPE UNIT QUANTITY PRICE AMOUNT 1 STRUCTURE EXCAVATION (TYPE A) CY 166 \$600.00 \$99,540.00 2 STRUCTURE EXCAVATION (BRIDGE) CY 498 \$60.00 \$29,868.00 3 SEAL COURSE CONCRETE CY 139 \$300.00 \$41,610.00 4 24" CAST-IN-DRILLED-HOLE CONCRETE PILING LF 3.640 \$200.00 \$728,000,00 5 FURNISH 24" CAST-IN-STEEL SHELL CONCRETE PILE LF 1,820 \$145.00 \$263,900.00 DRIVE 24" CAST-IN-STEEL SHELL CONCRETE PILE EA 26 \$9,000.00 \$234,000.00 6 STRUCTURAL CONCRETE, BRIDGE CY 7 1.598 \$1.200.00 \$1,917,960.00 STRUCTURAL CONCRETE, BRIDGE FOOTING 480 \$600.00 \$288,000.00 8 CY STRUCTURAL CONCRETE, APPROACH SLAB CY \$750.00 \$126,750.00 9 169 10 BAR REINFORCING STEEL (BRIDGE) 396,346 LB \$2.00 \$792,692.00 \$135.00 11 JOINT SEAL (MR = 2")LF 152 \$20,520.00 FURNISH STRUCTURAL STEEL (BRIDGE) 1,349,000 \$2.50 \$3,372,500.00 12 LB ERECT STRUCTURAL STEEL (BRIDGE) 13 LB 1,349,000 \$1.40 \$1,888,600.00 14 CONCRETE BARRIER (TYPE 80) LF 1.100 \$350.00 \$385,000.00 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 SUBTOTAL \$10,188,940 TIME RELATED OVERHEAD \$1,018,894 MOBILIZATION (@10%) \$1,245,315 SUBTOTAL BRIDGE ITEMS \$12,453,149 CONTINGENCIES (@ 25%) \$3,113,287 BRIDGE TOTAL COST \$15,566,436 COST PER SQ. FOOT \$372.40 BRIDGE REMOVAL (CONTINGENCIES INCL.) WORK BY RAILROAD OR UTILITY FORCES GRAND TOTAL \$15,566,436 BUDGET ESTIMATE AS OF \$15,566,000

COMMENTS:

#### Escalated Budget Estimate to Midpoint of Construction \*

Escalation Rate per Year

Years Beyond	Escalated	Years B
Midpoint	Budget Est.	Midp
1	\$15,566,000	4
2	\$15,566,000	5
3	\$15,566,000	

Years Beyond	Escalated
Midpoint	Budget Est.
4	\$15,566,000
5	\$15,566,000

\* Escalated budget estimate is provided for information only, actual construction costs may vary. Escalated budget estimates provided do not replace Departmental policy to update cost estimates annually.

GENERAL PLAN ESTIMATE ADVANCE PLANNING ESTIMATE Х Revised - December 3, 2007 **RCVD BY:** IN EST: **OUT EST:** BRIDGE: Broadway Bridge BR. No.: DISTRICT: 03 TYPE: Steel Plate Girder - 3 Span East Approach RTE: Broadway CU: CO: Sacramento EA: PM: LENGTH: 550.00 AREA (SF)= WIDTH: 41,800 76.00 DESIGN BY: CH2M **# OF STRUCTURES IN PROJECT : 1** EST. NO. 1 PRICES BY : M. Negrete COST INDEX: 2015 PRICES CHECKED BY : DATE: QUANTITIES BY: M. Negrete DATE: 6/29/2015 CONTRACT ITEMS TYPE UNIT QUANTITY PRICE AMOUNT 1 STRUCTURE EXCAVATION (TYPE A) CY 332 \$600.00 \$199,140.00 STRUCTURE EXCAVATION (BRIDGE) CY 498 \$60.00 \$29,868.00 2 3 SEAL COURSE CONCRETE CY 277 \$300.00 \$83,190.00 4 24" CAST-IN-DRILLED-HOLE CONCRETE PILING LF 3 640 \$200.00 \$728,000.00 5 FURNISH 24" CAST-IN-STEEL SHELL CONCRETE PILE LF 3,640 \$145.00 \$527,800.00 DRIVE 24" CAST-IN-STEEL SHELL CONCRETE PILE EA 52 \$9,000.00 \$468,000.00 6 CY 7 STRUCTURAL CONCRETE, BRIDGE 1.861 \$1.200.00 \$2,232,720.00 STRUCTURAL CONCRETE, BRIDGE FOOTING 683 \$600.00 \$409,620.00 8 CY STRUCTURAL CONCRETE, APPROACH SLAB CY \$750.00 \$126,750.00 9 169 10 BAR REINFORCING STEEL (BRIDGE) \$961,368.00 LB 480,684 \$2.00 \$135.00 \$20,520.00 11 JOINT SEAL (MR = 2")LF 152 FURNISH STRUCTURAL STEEL (BRIDGE) 1,045,000 \$2.50 \$2,612,500.00 12 LB ERECT STRUCTURAL STEEL (BRIDGE) \$1,463,000.00 13 LB 1,045,000 \$1.40 14 CONCRETE BARRIER (TYPE 80) LF 1.100 \$350.00 \$385,000.00 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 SUBTOTAL \$10,247,476 TIME RELATED OVERHEAD \$1,024,748 MOBILIZATION (@10%) \$1,252,469 SUBTOTAL BRIDGE ITEMS \$12,524,693 CONTINGENCIES (@ 25%) \$3,131,173 BRIDGE TOTAL COST \$15,655,866 COST PER SQ. FOOT \$374.54 BRIDGE REMOVAL (CONTINGENCIES INCL.) WORK BY RAILROAD OR UTILITY FORCES GRAND TOTAL \$15,655,866 BUDGET ESTIMATE AS OF \$15,656,000

COMMENTS:

#### Escalated Budget Estimate to Midpoint of Construction \*

Escalation Rate per Year

Years Beyond	Escalated
Midpoint	Budget Est.
1	\$15,656,000
2	\$15,656,000
3	\$15,656,000

Years Beyond	Escalated
Midpoint	Budget Est.
4	\$15,656,000
5	\$15,656,000

\* Escalated budget estimate is provided for information only, actual construction costs may vary. Escalated budget estimates provided do not replace Departmental policy to update cost estimates annually.

Х	ADVANCE PLANNING ESTIMATE

	GENERAL PLAN ESTIMATE		Х	ADVANCE P	LANNING ESTIN	MATE
Revised - Decemb	rr 3, 2007	RCVD BY:		_	IN EST:	
					OUT EST:	
RRIDCE.	Broadway Bridge	BD No.			DISTRICT	03
TYPE: Pr	ecast Wide Flange Girders	<b>DK. 10</b>		-	RTE.	Broadway
CU:	cast white range on ders	_			<u>CO:</u>	Sacramento
EA:					PM:	
	LENGTH	550.00	WIDTH:	76.00	AREA (SF)=	= 41,800
	DESIGN BY: CH2M		_			
	# OF STRUCTURES IN PROJECT : 1			EST. NO.	1	_
	PRICES BY : M. Negrete			COST INDEX:	2015	_
	PRICES CHECKED BY :			DATE:	6/20/2015	_
	QUANTITIES BY: M. Negrete		UNIT	DATE:	6/29/2015	
1	CONTRACT ITEMS	IYPE			\$600.00	\$100.140.00
2	STRUCTURE EXCAVATION (TITE A)			498	\$60.00	\$199,140.00
3	SFAL COURSE CONCRETE		CY	277	\$300.00	\$83,190,00
4	24" CAST-IN-DRILLED-HOLE CONCRETE PILING		LF	3 640	\$200.00	\$728,000,00
5	FURNISH 24" CAST-IN-STEEL SHELL CONCRETE PILE		LF	3,640	\$145.00	\$527,800.00
6	DRIVE 24" CAST-IN-STEEL SHELL CONCRETE PILE		EA	52	\$9,000.00	\$468,000.00
7	FURNISH PRECAST PRESTRESSED CONCRETE WIDE FLANGE GIRDER (120' - 130')		EA	16	\$40,000.00	\$640,000.00
8	FURNISH PRECAST PRESTRESSED CONCRETE WIDE FLANGE GIRDER (140' - 150')		EA	16	\$60,000.00	\$960,000.00
9	ERECT PRECAST PRESTRESSEC CONCRETE WIDE FLANGE GIRDER		EA	32	\$5,000.00	\$160,000.00
10	STRUCTURAL CONCRETE, BRIDGE		CY	1,861	\$1,200.00	\$2,232,720.00
11	STRUCTURAL CONCRETE, BRIDGE FOOTING		CY	683	\$600.00	\$409,620.00
12	STRUCTURAL CONCRETE, APPROACH SLAB		CY	169	\$750.00	\$126,750.00
13	BAR REINFORCING STEEL (BRIDGE)		LB	480,680	\$2.00	\$961,360.00
14	JOINT SEAL $(MR = 2")$		LF	152	\$135.00	\$20,520.00
15	CONCRETE BARRIER (TYPE 80)		LF	1,100	\$350.00	\$385,000.00
16						
17						
18						
20						
20						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29						
30		SUDTOTAL				\$7.021.068
		TIME PELATE		)		\$703 107
		MOBILIZATIO	N (@ 10 %)	,		\$969.463
		SUBTOTAL B	RIDGE ITEMS			\$9.694.628
		CONTINGENO	CIES	(@ 25%)		\$2,423.657
		BRIDGE TOTA	AL COST			\$12,118,284
		COST PER SQ	. FOOT			\$289.91
		BRIDGE REM	OVAL (CONTI	NGENCIES INC	L.)	
		WORK BY RA	ILROAD OR U	TILITY FORCE	S	
		GRAND TOTA				\$12,118,284
COMMENTS	:	BUDGET EST	IMATE AS OF			\$12,118,000

#### Escalated Budget Estimate to Midpoint of Construction \*

Escalation Rate per Year

Years Beyond	Escalated		Years Beyond	Escalated
Midpoint	Budget Est.		Midpoint	Budget Est.
1	\$12,118,000		4	\$12,118,000
2	\$12,118,000		5	\$12,118,000
3	\$12,118,000	-		

\* Escalated budget estimate is provided for information only, actual construction costs may vary. Escalated budget estimates provided do not replace Departmental policy to update cost estimates annually.